

UDK 517.5

K. K. Chaudhary*, **S. B. Rao***** The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda,
Vadodara-390001, Gujarat, India. *E-mail: kuldip.c-appmathphd@msubaroda.ac.in*** The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda,
Vadodara-390001, Gujarat, India. *E-mail: snehal.b.rao-appmath@msubaroda.ac.in*

A study on unification of generalized hypergeometric function and Mittag-Leffler function with certain integral transforms of generalized basic hypergeometric function ¹

Abstract. This research article explores some new properties of generalized hypergeometric function and its q -analogue. The connections between ${}_2R_1^v(z)$, the Wright function, and generalized Mittag-Leffler functions are explored. The authors introduce the q -analogue of generalized hypergeometric function denoted by ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(z)$ and discuss its properties and connections with q -Wright function and q -versions of generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. We get the q -integral transforms such as q -Mellin, q -Euler (beta), q -Laplace, q -sumudu, and q -natural transforms of Wright-type generalized q -hypergeometric function. This article contributes to the understanding of hypergeometric functions in q -calculus.

Key words: basic hypergeometric functions in one variable ${}_r\phi_s$, q -calculus and related topics, Mittag-Leffler functions and generalizations, integral transforms of special functions

Анотація. В даній науковій статті досліджуються деякі нові властивості узагальненої гіпергеометричної функції та її q -аналога. Досліджуються зв'язки між ${}_2R_1^v(z)$, функцією Райта та узагальненими функціями Міттаг-Леффлера. Автори вводять q -аналог узагальненої гіпергеометричної функції, позначеної як ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(z)$, та обговорюють її властивості та зв'язки з q -функцією Райта та q -версіями узагальнених функцій Міттаг-Леффлера. Ми отримуємо q -інтегральні перетворення, такі як q -Меллінове, q -Ейлерове (бета), q -Лапласове, q -сумуду, та q -натуральні перетворення узагальненої q -гіпергеометричної функції типу Райта. Ця стаття сприяє розумінню гіпергеометричних функцій у q -численні.

¹The first author expresses heartfelt appreciation to the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, for bestowing upon them the esteemed INSPIRE fellowship award (Award No. IF220147). Additionally, the authors extend their profound gratitude to the editor and reviewers for providing valuable and thorough input on our manuscript.

Ключові слова: основні гіпергеометричні функції в одній змінній ${}_r\phi_s$, q -числення та пов'язані теми, функції Міттаг-Леффлера та їх узагальнення, інтегральні перетворення спеціальних функцій

MSC2020: Pri 33D15, Sec 05A30, 33E12, 44A20

1. Introduction

C. F. Gauss [10] derived the hypergeometric function as

$${}_2F_1(\mu, \eta; \lambda; \mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\ell}(\eta)_{\ell}}{(\lambda)_{\ell}} \frac{\mathfrak{z}^{\ell}}{\ell!} \quad (1.1)$$

Heine [12, 13] derived the q -analogue of ${}_2F_1$ (also known as basic hypergeometric function) as

$${}_2\phi_1(\mu, \eta; \lambda; q, \mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu; q)_{\ell}(\eta; q)_{\ell}}{(\lambda; q)_{\ell}(q; q)_{\ell}} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Re(\mu), \Re(\eta), \Re(\lambda) > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $|\mathfrak{z}| < 1$. Ernst [6] reintroduced (1.2) by taking q^{μ} instead of μ and gave the new notation $(q^{\mu}; q)_{\ell} \equiv \langle \mu; q \rangle_{\ell}$ to make the expression more natural and gave the comprehensive treatment of q -calculus.

The generalization of (1.1) is derived as [27]

$${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z}) \equiv {}_2R_1(\mu, \eta; \lambda; v; \mathfrak{z}) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\eta)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\ell} \Gamma(\mu + v\ell)}{\Gamma(\lambda + v\ell)} \frac{\mathfrak{z}^{\ell}}{\ell!}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Re(\mu), \Re(\eta), \Re(\lambda) > 0, v > 0$ and $|\mathfrak{z}| < 1$. Rao et al. [19–24] studied extensively this generalization, which also has been used effectively in the study of generalization of Jacobi polynomial [28]. In this article, we derived some important connections of ${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z})$ with Wright function [31], Mittag-Leffler (M-L) function [16] and its generalizations [17, 26, 30].

In this sequel of study, we established the q -extension of ${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z})$ which is denoted and defined as below in (1.4).

$${}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z}) \equiv {}_2R_1(\mu, \eta; \lambda; v; q, \mathfrak{z}) = \frac{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}{\Gamma_q(\eta)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mu; q \rangle_{\ell} \Gamma_q(\eta + v\ell)}{\langle \lambda; q \rangle_{\ell} \Gamma_q(\lambda + v\ell)} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Re(\mu), \Re(\eta), \Re(\lambda) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $|\mathfrak{z}| < 1$. Also, Some properties of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z})$ and its relations between q -wright function [4], q -M-L function and its generalizations [4, 8] have been included in this article.

Jackson [14] provided a thorough explanation of q -Jackson integral and methodically created a q -calculus. Due to its shown applicability in numerous, at first glance disparate domains of science and engineering, q -calculus has consequently grown in significance and popularity. In theory of quantum

calculus, some significant integral transforms have various q -analogues. Among those, we are introducing the integral transforms of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z})$ which are q -Euler (beta) transform [9], q -extension of Laplace transform [11], the quantum sumudu integral transform [1,2] and quantum natural transform [3].

2. Preliminaries and Notations

Here, we mentioned some definition and important results that have been used in our study.

Definition 1. For $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < |q| < 1$, the q -shifted factorial is given by [9]

$$(\mathfrak{z}; q)_{\mathfrak{r}} = \begin{cases} 1, \mathfrak{r} = 0 \\ \prod_{\ell=0}^{\mathfrak{r}-1} (1 - \mathfrak{z}q^{\ell}), \mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Ernst [6] reintroduced the q -shifted factorial for $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$ as below;

$$\langle \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_{\mathfrak{r}} = \begin{cases} 1, \mathfrak{r} = 0 \\ \prod_{\ell=0}^{\mathfrak{r}-1} (1 - q^{\mathfrak{z}+\ell}), \mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Here, observe that, $\langle \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_{\ell} \equiv (q^{\mathfrak{z}}; q)_{\ell}$. For $[\mathfrak{z}]_q \cdot [\mathfrak{z} + 1]_q \cdot \dots \cdot [\mathfrak{z} + \ell - 1]_q$, here we adopt the notation $[\mathfrak{z}]_q^{\ell}$ (namely KS- q -pochhammer symbol), thus

$$[\mathfrak{z}]_q^{\ell} = [\mathfrak{z}]_q \cdot [\mathfrak{z} + 1]_q \cdot \dots \cdot [\mathfrak{z} + \ell - 1]_q, \text{ where } [\mathfrak{z}]_q = \frac{1 - q^{\mathfrak{z}}}{1 - q}. \quad (2.3)$$

Definition 2. For $|\mathfrak{z}| < 1$ and $0 < |q| < 1$, the q -extension of binomial series is given by [6, 9]

$${}_1\phi_0(\mu; -; q, \mathfrak{z}) \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mu; q \rangle_{\ell}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{\ell}} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell} = \frac{(q^{\mu} \mathfrak{z}; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(\mathfrak{z}; q)_{\mu}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 3. The q -integration of $f(\mathfrak{z})$ is defined as [9]

$$\int_a^b f(\mathfrak{z}) d_q \mathfrak{z} = \int_0^b f(\mathfrak{z}) d_q \mathfrak{z} - \int_0^a f(\mathfrak{z}) d_q \mathfrak{z}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\int_0^n f(\mathfrak{z}) d_q \mathfrak{z} = n(1 - q) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f(nq^{\ell}) q^{\ell}. \quad (2.6)$$

Definition 4. For $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < |q| < 1$, the q -formula of gamma function is given as [9]

$$\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z}) = \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_\infty}{\langle \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_\infty} (1 - q)^{1-\mathfrak{z}} \equiv \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^\mathfrak{z}; q)_\infty} (1 - q)^{1-\mathfrak{z}}, \quad (2.7)$$

$\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z})$ has poles at $\mathfrak{z} = 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The integral expression of q -gamma is given as [7]

$$\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z}) = q^{-\frac{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{z}+1)}{2}} \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{x}^{\mathfrak{z}-1} e_q(-\mathfrak{x}) d_q \mathfrak{x}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $e_q(\mathfrak{x})$, the q -exponential function, is defined as [7]

$$e_q(\mathfrak{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mathfrak{x}^j \cdot (1 - q)^j}{(q; q)_j}. \quad (2.9)$$

The relation between q -gamma and q -shifted factorial is given by [5]

$$\langle \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_n \equiv (q^\mathfrak{z}; q)_n = \frac{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z} + n)}{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z})} (1 - q)^n. \quad (2.10)$$

Definition 5. The q -expression of beta function is given as [6, 9]

$$B_q(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2) = (1 - q) \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \frac{\langle \ell + 1; q \rangle_\infty}{\langle \ell + \mathfrak{z}_2; q \rangle_\infty} q^{\ell \mathfrak{z}_1} \equiv (1 - q) \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \frac{(q^{\ell+1}; q)_\infty}{(q^{\ell+\mathfrak{z}_2}; q)_\infty} q^{\ell \mathfrak{z}_1}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\Re(\mathfrak{z}_1), \Re(\mathfrak{z}_2) > 0$ and its integral expression is given as [9]

$$B_q(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2) = \int_0^1 \mathfrak{x}^{\mathfrak{z}_1-1} \frac{(\mathfrak{x}q; q)_\infty}{(\mathfrak{x}q^{\mathfrak{z}_2}; q)_\infty} d_q \mathfrak{x}. \quad (2.12)$$

The q -beta function is described in terms of q -gamma function as [9]

$$B_q(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2) = \frac{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z}_1) \Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z}_2)}{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z}_1 + \mathfrak{z}_2)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Definition 6. For $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\mathfrak{h}) > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $|\mathfrak{z}(1 - q)^\mathfrak{h}| < 1$, the q -analogue of Mittag-Leffler function is given as [4]

$$e_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{z}; q) = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \frac{\mathfrak{z}^\ell}{\Gamma_q(\ell \mathfrak{h} + 1)}, \quad (\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (2.14)$$

For $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\mathfrak{h}) > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $|\mathfrak{z}(1 - q)^\mathfrak{h}| < 1$, Mansour [15] introduced the q -M-L function as

$$e_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{z}; q) = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \frac{\mathfrak{z}^\ell}{\Gamma_q(\ell \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{g})}, \quad (\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (2.15)$$

For $\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\mathfrak{h}) > 0, \Re(\mathfrak{d}) > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $|\mathfrak{z}| < (1 - q)^{-\mathfrak{h}}$, then the q -extension of M-L function of three parameter is defined as [25]

$$e_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{z}; q) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\mathfrak{d}}; q)_{\ell} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell}}{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{h}\ell + \mathfrak{g})(q; q)_{\ell}}, \quad (\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (2.16)$$

Garg et al. [8] introduced the q -version of four parameter Mittag-Leffler function as

$$e_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{d}, \zeta}(\mathfrak{z}; q) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\mathfrak{d}}; q)_{\ell \zeta} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell}}{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{h}\ell + \mathfrak{g}) [\ell]!}, \quad (\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (2.17)$$

where $\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\mathfrak{h}), \Re(\mathfrak{g}), \Re(\mathfrak{d}) > 0, \zeta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $|\mathfrak{z}| < (1 - q)^{-\mathfrak{h}}$. Since $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} e_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{d}, \zeta}(\mathfrak{z}(1 - q)^{-\mathfrak{d}}; q) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{d}, \zeta}(\mathfrak{z})$ defined by Shukla and Prajapati given as in [26].

Definition 7. For $\Re(\mathfrak{h}), \Re(\mathfrak{g}) > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $|\mathfrak{z}| < (1 - q)^{-\mathfrak{h}}$, the q -generalization of Wright function is given as [4]

$$w_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{z}; q) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{z}^{\ell}}{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{h}\ell + \mathfrak{g})\Gamma_q(\ell + 1)}, \quad (\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (2.18)$$

Definition 8. The q -extension of Fox-Wright function is defined by [4]

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_r\psi_s \left[\begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathbf{A}_1), \dots, (\mathfrak{a}_r, \mathbf{A}_r) \\ (\mathfrak{b}_1, \mathbf{B}_1), \dots, (\mathfrak{b}_s, \mathbf{B}_s) \end{matrix} \mid q, \mathfrak{z} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma_q(\mathfrak{a}_i + \mathbf{A}_i k)}{\prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma_q(\mathfrak{b}_j + \mathbf{B}_j k)} \frac{\left[q \binom{k}{2} \right]^p}{\Gamma_q(k + 1)} \mathfrak{z}^k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where $\Re(\mathfrak{a}_i), \Re(\mathfrak{b}_j) > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$,

and $p = \sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{B}_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{A}_i + 1 \geq 0$.

If $\sum_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{b}_j > \sum_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{a}_i - 1$ then the domain of convergence is $\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{B}_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{A}_i - 1$ and the series in (2.19) converges only for $|\mathfrak{z}| < 1$.

Definition 9. The q -Euler (beta) transform of $f(\mathfrak{z})$ [9]

$$\mathcal{B}_q \{f(\mathfrak{z}); \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\} = \int_0^1 \mathfrak{z}^{\mathfrak{a}-1} \frac{(\mathfrak{z}q; q)_{\infty}}{(\mathfrak{z}q^{\mathfrak{b}}; q)_{\infty}} f(\mathfrak{z}) d_q \mathfrak{z}, \quad (\Re(\mathfrak{a}), \Re(\mathfrak{b}) > 0). \quad (2.20)$$

Definition 10. Hahn [11] derived the Laplace transform in q -theory as

$${}_q\mathfrak{L}(f(\mathfrak{t}))(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{1}{(1-q)} \int_0^\infty e_q(-\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{t}) f(\mathfrak{t}) d_q \mathfrak{t}, \quad (\Re(\mathfrak{s}) > 0). \quad (2.21)$$

Definition 11. The sumudu transform of $f(\mathfrak{t})$ over the set $\mathfrak{A}_2$ in q -calculus is defined as [1, 2]

$${}_q\mathfrak{S}(f(\mathfrak{t}))(\mathfrak{u}) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{u}(1-q)} \int_0^\infty f(\mathfrak{t}) e_q\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathfrak{u}}\right) d_q \mathfrak{t}, \quad (\mathfrak{u} \in (\iota_1, \iota_2)), \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = \left\{ f(\mathfrak{t}) \mid \exists M, \iota_1, \iota_2 > 0, |f(\mathfrak{t})| < M \cdot e_q\left(\frac{|\mathfrak{t}|}{\iota_j}\right), \mathfrak{t} \in (-1)^j \times [0, \infty) \right\}. \quad (2.23)$$

Definition 12. For $\Re(\mathfrak{s}) > 0, \mathfrak{u} \in (\iota_1, \iota_2)$, the natural transform of $f(\mathfrak{t})$ over the set defined in (2.23) (i.e., $\mathfrak{A}_2$) in q -calculus is defined as [3]

$${}_q\mathfrak{N}(f(\mathfrak{t}))(\mathfrak{u}; \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{1}{(1-q)} \int_0^\infty f(\mathfrak{t}) e_q\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{s}}{\mathfrak{u}} \mathfrak{t}\right) d_q \mathfrak{t}. \quad (2.24)$$

3. Wright-type generalized basic hypergeometric function

The Wright-type generalized q -hypergeometric function is defined as in (1.4).

Special Cases

1. (1.4) reduces to ${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z})$ as $q \rightarrow 1$. Thus, ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z})$ is q -analogue of ${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z})$.
2. By taking $v = 1$, the q -analogue of ${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z})$ reduces to (1.2).
3. If $\lambda = \eta$ then ${}_2R_1(\mu, \eta; \lambda; v; q, \mathfrak{z})$ reduces to the q -binomial series.
4. If $\mu = 1$ and $\lambda = \eta$ then (1.4) reduces to the geometric series.

3.1. Convergence of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z})$

In this section, we discussed the convergence of (1.4) using ratio test. To show the convergence for $|\mathfrak{z}| < 1$, let us begin by considering

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z}) &\equiv {}_2R_1(\mu, \eta; \lambda; v; q, \mathfrak{z}) = \frac{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}{\Gamma_q(\eta)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mu; q \rangle_\ell}{\langle 1; q \rangle_\ell} \frac{\Gamma_q(\eta + v\ell)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda + v\ell)} \mathfrak{z}^\ell, \\ &= 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mu; q \rangle_\ell}{\langle 1; q \rangle_\ell} \frac{\Gamma_q(\eta + v\ell)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda + v\ell)} \frac{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}{\Gamma_q(\eta)} \mathfrak{z}^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} u_{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mu; q \rangle_{\ell}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma_q(\eta + v\ell)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda + v\ell)} \frac{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}{\Gamma_q(\eta)} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{u_{\ell+1}}{u_{\ell}} \right| &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{\langle \mu; q \rangle_{\ell+1}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{\ell+1}} \frac{\Gamma_q(\eta + v(\ell+1))}{\Gamma_q(\lambda + v(\ell+1))} \frac{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}{\Gamma_q(\eta)} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell+1}}{\frac{\langle \mu; q \rangle_{\ell}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma_q(\eta + v\ell)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda + v\ell)} \frac{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}{\Gamma_q(\eta)} \mathfrak{z}^{\ell}} \right| \\ &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(1 - q^{\mu+\ell}) \cdot \langle \eta + v\ell; q \rangle_{\infty} \cdot \langle \lambda + v(\ell+1); q \rangle_{\infty}}{(1 - q^{\ell+1}) \cdot \langle \eta + v(\ell+1); q \rangle_{\infty} \cdot \langle \lambda + v\ell; q \rangle_{\infty}} \right| |\mathfrak{z}| \\ &= 1 \cdot |\mathfrak{z}|. \end{aligned}$$

So, if $|\mathfrak{z}| < 1$ then $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} u_{\ell}$ converges.

Now, we'll show that $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1 - q^{\mu+\ell}}{1 - q^{\ell+1}} \frac{\langle \eta + v\ell; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle \eta + v(\ell+1); q \rangle_{\infty}} \frac{\langle \lambda + v(\ell+1); q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle \lambda + v\ell; q \rangle_{\infty}} \right| = 1$.

Let us begin with

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(1 - q^{\mu+\ell}) \cdot \langle \eta + v\ell; q \rangle_{\infty} \cdot \langle \lambda + v(\ell+1); q \rangle_{\infty}}{(1 - q^{\ell+1}) \cdot \langle \eta + v(\ell+1); q \rangle_{\infty} \cdot \langle \lambda + v\ell; q \rangle_{\infty}} \right| \\ &= 1 \cdot \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\eta+v\ell+m}) \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\lambda+v(\ell+1)+m})}{\prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\eta+v(\ell+1)+m}) \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\lambda+v\ell+m})} \right| \\ &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=0}^n (1 - q^{\eta+v\ell+m}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=0}^n (1 - q^{\lambda+v(\ell+1)+m})}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=0}^n (1 - q^{\eta+v(\ell+1)+m}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=0}^n (1 - q^{\lambda+v\ell+m})} \right| \\ &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(\sum_{m=0}^n \log \frac{(1 - q^{\eta+v\ell+m}) (1 - q^{\lambda+v(\ell+1)+m})}{(1 - q^{\eta+v(\ell+1)+m}) (1 - q^{\lambda+v\ell+m})} \right) \right| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

4. Some new results on ${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z})$ and ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z})$

4.1. Relations of ${}_2R_1^v(\mathfrak{z})$ and ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z})$

In this subsection, we have defined relations of generalized hypergeometric function and its q-analogue with Mittag-Leffler, Wright function and their q-analogues. These established relations may prove potentially valuable in various applications, particularly when one considers limiting cases.

Theorem 1. For $a = c = 1, \Re(b) > 0, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}| < b^v$ then

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1 \left(1, b; 1; v; \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{b^v} \right) = \mathcal{E}_v(\mathfrak{z}), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_v(\mathfrak{z})$ is in the form of Mittag-Leffler function as defined in [16].

Proof. To prove this, let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1^v \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{b^v} \right) \Big|_{a=c=1} &\equiv \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1 \left(1, b; 1; v; \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{b^v} \right) \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(b + vk)}{\Gamma(1 + vk)} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{b^v} \right)^k \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_{vk}}{b^{vk}} \frac{\mathfrak{z}^k}{\Gamma(1 + vk)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{z}^k}{\Gamma(vk + 1)} = \mathcal{E}_v(\mathfrak{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 to theorem 5 can be proved in the same manner.

Theorem 2. For $a = 1, \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}| < b^v$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1 \left(1, b; c; v; \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{b^v} \right) = \mathcal{E}_{v,c}(\mathfrak{z}), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{v,c}(\mathfrak{z})$ is in the form of generalized Mittag-Leffler function given by Wiman [30].

Theorem 3. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}| < b^v$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1 \left(a, b; c; v; \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{b^v} \right) = \mathcal{E}_{v,c}^a(\mathfrak{z}), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{v,c}^a(\mathfrak{z})$ is in the form of generalized Mittag-Leffler function of three parameters given by Prabhakar [17].

Theorem 4. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}| < a$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1 \left(a, b; c; v; \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{a} \right) = \mathcal{E}_{v,c}^{b,v}(\mathfrak{z}), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{v,c}^{b,v}(\mathfrak{z})$ is in the form of generalized Mittag-Leffler function of four parameters given by Shukla and Prajapati [26].

Theorem 5. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}| < a$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1 \left(a, b; c; v; \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{ab^v} \right) = \phi(v, c, \mathfrak{z}), \quad (4.5)$$

where $\phi(v, c, \mathfrak{z})$ is in the form of Wright function given by E. M. Wright [31].

Theorem 6. For $a = c = 1, \Re(b) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}(1-q)^v| < 1$ then

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, (1-q)^v \mathfrak{z}) \Big|_{a=c=1} = e_v(\mathfrak{z}; q). \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1^{v,q}((1-q)^v \mathfrak{z}) \Big|_{a=c=1} &\equiv \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1(1, b; 1; v; q, (1-q)^v \mathfrak{z}) \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(1)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(1+vk)} (1-q)^{vk} \mathfrak{z}^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{z}^k}{\Gamma_q(vk+1)} = e_v(\mathfrak{z}; q) \quad (\text{by using (2.14)}). \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we can prove theorem 7 to theorem 10.

Theorem 7. For $a = 1, \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}(1-q)^v| < 1$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(c)} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, (1-q)^v \mathfrak{z}) \Big|_{a=1} = e_{v,c}(\mathfrak{z}; q). \quad (4.7)$$

Theorem 8. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}(1-q)^v| < 1$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(c)} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, (1-q)^v \mathfrak{z}) = e_{v,c}^a(\mathfrak{z}; q). \quad (4.8)$$

Theorem 9. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}(1-q)^v| < 1$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(c)} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, (1-q)^v \mathfrak{z}) = e_{v,c}^{b,v}(\mathfrak{z}; q). \quad (4.9)$$

Theorem 10. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}(1-q)^v| < 1$ then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(c)} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, (1-q)^v \mathfrak{z}) = w_{v,c}(\mathfrak{z}; q). \quad (4.10)$$

4.2. Some important on ${}_2R_1^{v,q}$

In 1910, Watson [29] gave the q -analogue of Barnes' contour integral of ${}_2F_1$ using Euler reflection formula for $0 < |q| < 1$ as

$${}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) = \frac{(a, b; q)_\infty}{(q, c; q)_\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \right) \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{(q^{1+s}, cq^s; q)_\infty}{(aq^s, bq^s; q)_\infty} \frac{\pi(-z)^s}{\sin \pi s} ds. \quad (4.11)$$

By Euler reflection formula $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}$, (4.11) can be expressed as [6]

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) &= \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(a+s)\Gamma_q(b+s)\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma_q(c+s)\Gamma_q(1+s)} (-z)^s ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Theorem 11. (Barnes' contour integral representation of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(z)$) For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c), v > 0, |z| < 1$ and $\Re(s) > 0$, Barnes' contour integral representation of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(z)$ (1.4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, z) &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times \\ &\times \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(a-s)\Gamma_q(b-vs)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma_q(c-vs)\Gamma_q(1-s)} (-z)^{-s} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v > 0$ and $|z| < 1$.

Proof. Let us consider the q -analogue of Wright-type generalized hypergeometric function as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, z) &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} z^k \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma_q(a+k)\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(1+k)\Gamma_q(c+vk)} (-z)^k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Now, consider the right hand side of (11);

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(a-s)\Gamma_q(b-vs)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma_q(c-vs)\Gamma_q(1-s)} (-z)^{-s} ds \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{res}_{s=-k} \left[\frac{\Gamma_q(a-s)\Gamma_q(b-vs)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma_q(c-vs)\Gamma_q(1-s)} (-z)^{-s} \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow -k} \left[\frac{\pi(s+k)}{\sin(\pi s)} \frac{\Gamma_q(a-s)\Gamma_q(b-vs)}{\Gamma_q(c-vs)\Gamma_q(1-s)} (-z)^{-s} \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma_q(a+k)\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(1+k)\Gamma_q(c+vk)} (-z)^k, \tag{4.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

(4.14) and (4.15) completes the proof of (11), which is the Barnes' contour integral representation of ${}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, z)$, where $0 < |q| < 1$, $|z| < 1$, $|\arg(-z)| \leq \pi - \delta$, $\delta > 0$. The contour integration runs from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$, and separate the poles of integrand at $s = -k, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ to the left and all the poles $s = n + a, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as well as $s = \frac{n+b}{v}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ to the right.

Note that, there are three special cases of (11). If $v = 1$, then (4.12) is first special case. Letting limit $q \rightarrow 1$, we get the Mellin-Barnes integral representation of ${}_2R_1^v(z)$ as defined in [22], and if we combine both special cases, we get the Barnes' contour integral representation of ${}_2F_1(z)$ [18].

Theorem 12. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c), \Re(\delta) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\mathfrak{z}u^v| < 1$ then

$$\int_0^1 u^{c-1} \frac{(uq; q)_{\infty}}{(uq^{\delta}; q)_{\infty}} {}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z}u^v) d_q u = B_q(c, \delta) \cdot {}_2R_1(a, b; c + \delta; v; q, \mathfrak{z}). \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 u^{c-1} \frac{(uq; q)_{\infty}}{(uq^{\delta}; q)_{\infty}} {}_2R_1^{v,q}(\mathfrak{z}u^v) d_q u \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(c+vk)} \mathfrak{z}^k B_q(c+vk, \delta) \\
 &= B_q(c, \delta) \frac{\Gamma_q(c+\delta)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(c+\delta+vk)} \mathfrak{z}^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 13. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v > 0, 0 < |q| < 1, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, if $|\omega s^v| < 1$ then

$$[c]_q \int_0^{\mathfrak{z}} s^{c-1} {}_2R_1^{v,q}(a, b; c; v; q, \omega s^v) d_q s = \mathfrak{z}^c {}_2R_1^{v,q}(a, b; c+1; v; q, \omega \mathfrak{z}^v). \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\mathfrak{z}} s^{c-1} {}_2R_1^{v,q}(\omega s^v) d_q s &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(c+vk)} \omega^k \int_0^{\mathfrak{z}} s^{c+vk-1} d_q s \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{z}^c}{[c]_q} \frac{\Gamma_q(c+1)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(c+1+vk)} (\omega \mathfrak{z}^v)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 14. For $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0, v > 0$ and $0 < |q| < 1$, if $|q^{\mathfrak{z}}| < 1$ and $|q^b| < 1$ then

$${}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, q^{\mathfrak{z}}) = \frac{\langle a + \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_{\infty} \langle b; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle c; q \rangle_{\infty} \langle \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_{\infty}} {}_2R_1(c - b, \mathfrak{z}; a + \mathfrak{z}; v; q, q^b). \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. Starting the proof by taking $q^{\mathfrak{z}}$ instead of $\mathfrak{z}$ in (1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; q, q^{\mathfrak{z}}) &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\Gamma_q(c+vk)} q^{\mathfrak{z}k} \\ &= \frac{\langle b; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle c; q \rangle_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\langle c+vk; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle b+vk; q \rangle_{\infty}} q^{\mathfrak{z}k} \\ &= \frac{\langle b; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle c; q \rangle_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle c-b; q \rangle_j}{\langle 1; q \rangle_j} q^{jb} \frac{\langle \mathfrak{z} + a + jv; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle \mathfrak{z} + jv; q \rangle_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{\langle a + \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_{\infty} \langle b; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle c; q \rangle_{\infty} \langle \mathfrak{z}; q \rangle_{\infty}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z} + a)}{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z})} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle c-b; q \rangle_j}{\langle 1; q \rangle_j} \frac{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z} + vj)}{\Gamma_q(\mathfrak{z} + a + vj)} (q^b)^j, \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to (4.18).

5. Some q -integral transforms on generalized hypergeometric function

Theorem 15. (q -Euler (beta) transform of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(xs^{\sigma})$) For $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0; \tau > 0$ and $|xs^{\sigma}| < 1$, the q -Euler integral transform of ${}_2R_1^{\tau,q}(xs^{\sigma}) \equiv {}_2R_1(a, b; c; \tau; xs^{\sigma})$ can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B}_q \{ {}_2R_1^{v,q}(xs^{\sigma}) : \alpha, \beta \} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c) \Gamma_q(\beta)}{\Gamma_q(a) \Gamma_q(b)} {}_3\psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (a, 1), (b, v), (\alpha, \sigma) \\ (c, v), (\alpha + \beta, \sigma) \end{matrix} \mid q, x \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. We start this proof by taking ${}_2R_1(a, b; c; v; xs^\sigma)$ instead of $f(\mathfrak{z})$ in (2.20), so we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{B}_q\{{}_2R_1^{v,q}(xs^\sigma) : \alpha, \beta\} \\
 &= \int_0^1 s^{\alpha-1} \frac{(sq; q)_\infty}{(sq^\beta; q)_\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} (xs^\sigma)^k \right) d_qs \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} x^k \int_0^1 s^{\alpha+\sigma k-1} \frac{(sq; q)_\infty}{(sq^\beta; q)_\infty} d_qs \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_q(c) \Gamma_q(\beta)}{\Gamma_q(a) \Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(a+k) \cdot \Gamma_q(b+vk) \cdot \Gamma_q(\alpha+\sigma k) \cdot x^k}{\Gamma_q(c+vk) \cdot \Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+\sigma k) \cdot \Gamma_q(1+k)}. \quad (5.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.19), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_q\{{}_2R_1^{v,q}(xs^\sigma) : \alpha, \beta\} = \frac{\Gamma_q(c) \Gamma_q(\beta)}{\Gamma_q(a) \Gamma_q(b)} {}_3\psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (a, 1), (b, v), (\alpha, \sigma) \\ (c, v), (\alpha + \beta, \sigma) \end{matrix} \mid q, x \right],$$

which is our q-Euler (beta) transform of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(xs^\sigma)$.

Theorem 16. (*q-Laplace transform of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(t)$*) For $\Re(s) > 0$, the *q-Laplace transform of ${}_2R_1^{\tau,q}(t) \equiv {}_2R_1(a, b; c; \tau; q, t)$ is given as*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_q\mathcal{L}({}_2R_1^{\tau,q}(t))(s) \\
 &= \frac{(s(1-q))^{-1} \Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a) \Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(a+k) \Gamma_q(b+\tau k)}{\Gamma_q(c+\tau k)} q^{\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}} \frac{1}{s^k}, \quad (5.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c) > 0; \tau > 0$ and $|t| < 1$.

Proof. By taking $f(t) = {}_2R_1^{v,q}(t)$ in (2.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_q\mathcal{L}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(s) &= \frac{1}{(1-q)} \int_0^\infty e_q(-st) \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} t^k d_q t \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} \int_0^\infty t^k e_q(-st) d_q t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $st = x$, we have $t = \frac{x}{s}$. Thus, when $x = 0 \Rightarrow t = 0, x \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow t \rightarrow \infty$ and $d_q t = \frac{d_q x}{s}$. Finally the above expression is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_q\mathcal{L}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(s) \\
 &= \frac{1}{s(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^k \int_0^\infty x^k e_q(-x) d_q x.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.8), we get

$${}_q\mathfrak{L}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(s) = \frac{1}{s(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} \frac{q^{\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}}}{(s)^k} \Gamma_q(k+1).$$

Which leads us to (5.3).

Theorem 17. (*q-Sumudu transform of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(t)$*) The *q-sumudu transform* of ${}_2R_1^{\tau,q}(t) \equiv {}_2R_1(a, b; c; \tau; q, t)$ on the set $\mathfrak{A}_2$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_q\mathfrak{S}({}_2R_1^{\tau,q}(t))(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a) \Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(a+k) \Gamma_q(b+\tau k)}{\Gamma_q(c+\tau k)} q^{\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}} u^k, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c), \tau > 0, |t| < 1, u \in (-c_1, c_2)$ and

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = \left\{ f(t) \mid \exists M, c_1, c_2 > 0, |f(t)| < M \cdot e_q\left(\frac{|t|}{c_j}\right), t \in (-1)^j \times [0, \infty) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $f(t) = {}_2R_1^{v,q}(t)$ in (2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_q\mathfrak{S}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)u} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} t^k \right) e_q\left(-\frac{t}{u}\right) d_q t \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)u} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} \int_0^{\infty} t^k e_q\left(-\frac{t}{u}\right) d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\frac{t}{u} = x \Rightarrow d_q t = u \cdot d_q x$. Thus, $t = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we can rewrite the above equation as

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_q\mathfrak{S}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)u} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} u^{k+1} \int_0^{\infty} x^k e_q(-x) d_q x. \end{aligned}$$

By applying (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_q\mathfrak{S}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} u^k q^{\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}} \Gamma_q(k+1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 18. (q -Natural transform of ${}_2R_1^{v,q}(t)$) The q -natural transform of ${}_2R_1^{\tau,q}(t) \equiv {}_2R_1(a, b; c; \tau; q, t)$ on the set $\mathfrak{A}_2$ (2.23) is defined as

$${}_q\mathfrak{N}({}_2R_1^{\tau,q}(t))(u; s) = \frac{1}{s(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(a)\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(a+k)\Gamma_q(b+\tau k)}{\Gamma_q(c+\tau k)} q^{\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}} \left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^k, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\Re(a), \Re(b), \Re(c), \Re(s), \tau > 0, |t| < 1, u \in (-c_1, c_2)$ and

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = \left\{ f(t) \mid \exists M, c_1, c_2 > 0, |f(t)| < M \cdot e_q\left(\frac{|t|}{c_j}\right), t \in (-1)^j \times [0, \infty) \right\}.$$

Proof. Taking $f(t) = {}_2R_1^{v,q}(t)$ in (2.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\mathfrak{N}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u; s) &= \frac{1}{(1-q)} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} t^k \right) e_q\left(-\frac{s}{u}t\right) d_q t \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} \int_0^{\infty} t^k e_q\left(-\frac{s}{u}t\right) d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\frac{s}{u}t = x \Rightarrow d_q t = \frac{u}{s} \cdot d_q x$. Thus, $t = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the above expression can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\mathfrak{N}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u; s) &= \frac{1}{s(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} \left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^k \int_0^{\infty} x^k e_q(-x) d_q x. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\mathfrak{N}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u; s) &= \frac{1}{s(1-q)} \frac{\Gamma_q(c)}{\Gamma_q(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(b+vk)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \Gamma_q(c+vk)} \left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^k q^{\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}} \Gamma_q(k+1), \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to (5.5). Here, we can conclude that

$${}_q\mathfrak{N}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(1; s) = {}_q\mathfrak{L}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(s), \quad (5.6)$$

$${}_q\mathfrak{N}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u; 1) = {}_q\mathfrak{S}({}_2R_1^{v,q}(t))(u). \quad (5.7)$$

References

1. Albayrak D., Purohit S.D., Uçar F.: On q -analogues of sumudu transform. Analele ştiin. Univ. Ovidius Const., Ser. Matem., 2013; 21(1): pp. 239–259. doi:10.2478/auom-2013-0016

2. *Albayrak D., Purohit S.D., Uçar F.*: On q-sumudu transforms of certain q-polynomials. *Filomat*, 2013; 27(2): pp. 411–427. doi:10.2298/FIL1302411A
3. *Al-Omari S.K.*: On q-analogues of the natural transform of certain q-bessel functions and some application. *Filomat*, 2017; 31(9), pp. 2587–2598. doi:10.2298/FIL1709587A
4. *Annaby M.H., Mansour Z.S.*: q-Fractional Calculus and Equations vol. 2056. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
5. *Bhardwaj H., Sharma P.*: An application of g-hypergeometric series. *GANITA*, 2021; 71(1): pp. 161–169.
6. *Ernst T.*: A comprehensive treatment of q-calculus. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
7. *Exton H.*: q-Hypergeometric functions and applications. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 605 Third ave., New York, NY 10158, USA, 1983.
8. *Garg M., Chanchlani L., Kalla S.*: A q-analogue of generalized Mittag-Leffler function. *Algebras Groups Geom.*, 2011; 28(2): pp. 205–223.
9. *Gasper G., Rahman M.*: Basic Hypergeometric Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
10. *Gauss C.F.*: Disquisitiones Generales circa Seriem Infinitam $1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{\gamma x + (\alpha + 1)\beta(\beta + 1)/1.2}{\gamma(\gamma + 1)xx + \alpha(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)\beta(\beta + 1)(\beta + 2)/1.2}$. 3. $\gamma(\gamma + 1)(\gamma + 2)x^3 + \text{etc.}$ Thesis, Gottingen, 1866.
11. *Hahn W.*: Beiträge zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihen. Die 24 Integrale der hypergeometrischen q-Differenzengleichung. Das q-Analogon der Laplace-Transformation. *Math. Nachrichten*, 1949; 2(6): pp. 340–379.
12. *Heine E.*: Über die Reihe $1 + (q\alpha - 1)(q\beta - 1)(q - 1)(q\gamma - 1)z + (q\alpha - 1)(q\alpha + 1 - 1)(q\beta - 1)(q\beta + 1 - 1)(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q\gamma - 1)(q\gamma + 1 - 1)z^2 + \dots$ *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 1846; 32: pp. 210–212.
13. *Heine E.*: Untersuchungen über die Reihe. *J. Reine Angew. Math*, 1847; 34: pp. 285–328. doi:10.1515/crll.1847.34.285
14. *Jackson F.H.*: On a q-definite integrals. *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1910; 41: pp. 193–203.
15. *Mansour Z.S.*: Linear sequential q-difference equations of fractional order. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal*, 2009; 12(2): pp. 159–178.
16. *Mittag-Leffler G.M.*: Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_\alpha(x)$. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris*, 1903; 137(2): pp. 554–558.
17. *Prabhakar T.R.*: A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel. *Yokohama math. J.*, 1971; 19(1): pp. 7–15.
18. *Rainville E.D.*: Special functions, The Macmillan Company, New York, 1960.
19. *Rao S.B.*: Explicit representation and some integral transforms of sequence of functions associated with the Wright type generalized hypergeometric function. *Global J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2017; 13(9): pp. 6703–6712.
20. *Rao S.B., Patel A.D., Prajapati J.C., Shukla A.K.*: Some properties of generalized hypergeometric function. *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2014; 28(2): pp. 303–317. doi:10.4134/CKMS.2013.28.2.303
21. *Rao S.B., Prajapati J.C., Patel A.D., Shukla A.K.*: Some properties of wright-type generalized hypergeometric function via fractional calculus. *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2014; 119: pp. 1–11. doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2014-119
22. *Rao S.B., Prajapati J.C., Shukla A.K.*: Wright type hypergeometric function and its properties. *Adv. Pure Math.*, 2013; 3(3): pp. 335–342. doi:10.4236/apm.2013.33048

23. Rao S.B., Salehbhai I.A., Shukla A.K.: On sequence of functions containing generalized hypergeometric function. *Math. Sci. Res. J.*, 2013; 17(4): pp. 98–110.
24. Rao S.B., Shukla A.K.: Note on generalized hypergeometric function. *Int. Trans. Spec. Func.*, 2013; 24(11): pp. 896–904. doi:10.1080/10652469.2013.773327
25. Sharma S.K., Jain R.: On some properties of generalized q-Mittag Leffler function. *Math. Aeterna*, 2014; 4(6): pp. 613–619.
26. Shukla A.K., Prajapati J.C.: On a generalization of Mittag-Leffler function and its properties. *J. Math. Analysis Appl.*, 2007; 336(2): pp. 797–811. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.03.018
27. Virchenko N., Kalla S.L., Al-Zamel A.M.: Some results on a generalized hypergeometric function. *Int. Trans. Spec. Func.*, 2001; 12(1): pp. 89–100. doi:10.1080/10652460108819336
28. Waghela D., Rao S.B.: A Note on Sequence of Functions associated with the Generalized Jacobi polynomial. *Res. Math.*, 2023; 31(2): pp. 62–78. doi:10.15421/242316
29. Watson G.N.: The continuation of functions defined by generalized hypergeometric series. *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 1910; 21: pp. 281–299.
30. Wiman A.: Über den Fundamentalsatz in der Theorie der Funktionen $E_a(x)$. *Acta Math.*, 1905; 29: pp. 191–201. doi:10.1007/BF02403202
31. Wright E.M.: On the coefficients of power series having exponential singularities. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 1933; 8(1): pp. 71–79. doi:10.1112/jlms/s1-8.1.71

Received: 12.12.2023. *Accepted:* 17.04.2024